

Peer-review process

Authors are required to submit original articles that have not been previously published and are not currently under review by another journal. All academic papers submitted to this journal undergo a thorough peer-review process. This process includes initial screening by the editor and subsequent double-blind peer reviews conducted by two independent reviewers who are respected experts in the relevant field. Importantly, at least one of these reviewers is an external (possibly international) expert with no affiliations to the editorial board. The reviewers should not have any personal affiliations with the author, and both authors and reviewers should not exhibit any evident conflicts of interest.

The assessment of submitted contributions is based on their originality, academic quality, and adherence to ethical and formal criteria. The review process is strictly anonymous, meaning that the author is unaware of the reviewers' identities, and vice versa. Anonymity is maintained by the editor, who removes all information regarding the author or reviewer from the manuscript. The final decision regarding the publication of an article is made by the editorial board, taking into account the results of the peer review and review reports.

In situations where two reviews present contradictory opinions, the editorial board reserves the right to seek the input of a third reviewer while retaining the ultimate responsibility for making the final decision. Book reviews and reports are published without a review process.

For additional information, please refer to the section on Publication Ethics.